

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Claims 21, 22 and 24 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 over Burton in view of Weichman, or vice versa. Claims 21, 22 and 24 also stand rejected under 35 USC §103 over Burton in view of Kuchyt et al. Finally, claims 21-25 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) over Kovacs et view of Burton and Wiechman. Claims 21-25 also stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) over Kovacs in view of Burton and Kuchyt et al. Although Applicants respectfully disagree with the outstanding rejections, Applicants have rendered the same moot by incorporating the subject matter of objected to claim 26 into claim 21. Claim 26 has been cancelled.

This application is now believed to be in condition for allowance of claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-18 and 20-25. However, if the Examiner believes that some minor additional clarification would put this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (812) 333-5355 in order to hasten the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'MB McNeil', written in a cursive style.

Michael B. McNeil  
Reg. No. 35, 949